

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,588	11/19/2003	Minwen Ji	200311664-1	6293	
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 04/23/200 ACKARD COMPANY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			TRUONG, TI	TRUONG, THANHNGA B	
	TELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION ORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2135			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/716,588	JI ET AL.	AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thanhnga B. Truong	2135		

	Thanhnga B. Truong	2135						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
I. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 1 MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 GFR 1.156(a). The data on which the petition under 37 GFR 1.156(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed in the date for propriese of determining the petited of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension plane been filed in the date for propriese of determining the petited of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension plane to corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension can be extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The filed office action; or (2) as set for thin (2) above, if checked, A vary reply received by the Office leafer than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 March 2008</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection rejection rejection rejection. The rejection	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	porroonanding number of finally rais	atad alaima						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cteu ciairis.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below of appended.							
Claim(s) allowed: None.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 11-16.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9,10 and 17-20.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								

/Thanhnga B. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2135 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 3/25/08 has been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. There are no argument from the applicant that has been filed on 3/25/08. Examiner has addressed the arguments filed on 12/01/07 and 3/19/07 in the Final Office Action mailed on 2/21/08.